

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/910,936	07/24/2001	Amit S. Phadnis	CSCO-006/2879	3554
26392	7590 12/07/2005		EXAMINER	
LAW FIRM OF NAREN THAPPETA C/O LANDON IP, INC. 1700 DIAGONAL ROAD, SUITE 450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			NGUYEN, BRIAN D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2661	

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>(</i> <b>γ</b>				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/910,936	PHADNIS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	TI 11411 INC DATE (11)	Brian D. Nguyen	2661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from b, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 N	lovember 2005.				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	• •	•				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2015.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/910,936 Page 2

Art Unit: 2661

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. The affidavits filed on 9/14/05 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome March reference.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 8-9, 14-15, and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by both Liu et al (2002/0114319) and Luciani (6,331,984).

Regarding claim 1, 8, and 14, Liu and Luciani both discloses a gateway device (see NAT 26 in figure 1 of Liu and figure 2 of Luciani) and a method for processing a packet, the gateway device comprising: means for searching enabling the retrieval of both a routing information (port) and a network address translation (NAT) information necessary for processing the packet in a single search operation (see table in figure 3 of Liu and table in figure 2 of Luciani), wherein the NAT information specifies a new address for an original address in the packet; means for receiving the packet containing the original address; means for determining the routing information and the NAT information for the packet by using the single search; means for substituting the new address for the original address in the packet; and means for forwarding the

Application/Control Number: 09/910,936 Page 3

Art Unit: 2661

packet with the new address according to the forwarding information (see paragraphs 0014 of Liu and col. 5, lines 27-52).

Regarding claims 2, 9, and 15, Liu and Luciani discloses a single table for both the routing and the NAT information (see table in figure 3 of Liu and table in figure 2 of Luciani).

Regarding claims 20-21, Liu and Luciani discloses a gateway device (see NAT 26 in figure 1 of Liu and figure 2 of Luciani) for processing a packet, the gateway device comprising: a memory unit (see table in figure 3 of Liu and table in figure 2 of Luciani) storing a routing information and a network address translation (NAT) information necessary for processing the packet, wherein the NAT information specifies a new address for an original address in the packet; an inbound interface receiving the packet containing the original address; a forwarding and NAT block determining the routing information and the NAT information for the packet using a single search, the forwarding and NAT block substituting the new address for the original address in the packet; and an outbound interface forwarding the packet with the new address according to the forwarding information, wherein the memory unit stores the routing information and the NAT information in a single table (see paragraphs 0014 of Liu and col. 5, lines 27-52).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2661

5. Claims 3-4, 7, 10-11, 16-17, and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over both Liu and Luciani in view of McClure (6,496,439) and Michels et al (6,678,269).

Page 4

Regarding claims 3-4, 7, 10-11, 16-17, and 22-23, both Liu and Luciani discloses all the claimed subject matter as described in previous paragraph except for the table uses a content addressable memory (CAM) and the search key includes network addresses. However, these features are well known in the art. McClure discloses the use of CAM to store translation tables (see col. 1, lines 40-41) and Michels discloses the search key includes network addresses (see col. 4, lines 17-20 and col. 6, lines 1-4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the CAM as taught by McClure and the search key include the addresses as taught by Michels to meet the design criteria of a particular implementation.

6. Claims 5-6, 12-13, 18-19, 24-25, and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over both Liu and Luciani in view of Michels et al (6,678,269).

Regarding claims 5, 12, 18, and 24, Liu and Luciani does not specifically disclose the gateway device comprises a service selection gateway connecting to a plurality of service domains and storing NAT information and forwarding information in a plurality of tables portioned according to service domains such that forwarding information and Nat information related to the same service domain is stored in the same one of the plurality of tables. However, these features are well known in the art. Michels discloses a switching device (gateway) connecting to a plurality of service domains (12, 14, 16 of figure 1A), each service domain includes a table (42, 44, 46 of figure 2) for storing the information relating to that service

Application/Control Number: 09/910,936

Art Unit: 2661

domain. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use different table for different service domain as taught by Michels in the system of Liu and Luciani in order to reduce the size of the table and the search time.

Regarding claims 6, 13, 19, and 25, Liu and Luciani does not specifically disclose at least one table can store the information related to at least a first service domain and a second service domain contained in the plurality of service domains, the first and second service domains containing a first set of addresses and a second set of addresses accessible from the gateway device, wherein the first and second set of addresses do not overlap. However, Michels discloses one table can be used to store at least two service domain wherein the address spaces accessible in the at least two of the service domains do not overlap (see table 90 in figure 5 where domains 0-3 stored the information). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a single table to store at least two service domains as taught by Michels in the system of Liu and Luciani in order to effectively use of memory to store table entries for all the service domains.

Regarding claim 26, Michels discloses a service selection block (packet analysis 34) determining a specific service to which the packet relates to and causes the packet to be processed according to a corresponding one of the plurality of tables (42, 44, 46 of figure 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the service selection block as taught by Michels in the system of Liu and Luciani in order to provide the specific service to the packet.

Regarding claim 27, Michels discloses a plurality of forwarding and NAT blocks (36, 38, 40) wherein each of the plurality of forwarding and NAT blocks is coupled to a corresponding

Art Unit: 2661

one of the memory units, wherein each memory unit stores one of the plurality of tables (42, 44, 46). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use separate blocks/memories for each service as taught by Michels in the system of Liu and Luciani so that different searching can be performed simultaneously on different memories.

### Response to Amendment

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Albert et al (6,650,641) discloses a method and system in which a single search operation and a single table is used for both a forwarding information and NAT information.

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2661

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian D. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3084. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-6:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

- 12/1/05

BRIAN NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER